arXiv:1604.00369v1 [q-fin.EC] 1 Apr 2016

The Mittag-Leffler Phillips Curve

Tomas Skovranek

Institute of Control and Informatization of Production Processes
BERG Faculty, Technical University of Kosice
B. Nemcovej 3, 042 00 Kosice, Slovak Republic
phone number: +421556025143
e-mail: tomas.skovranek@tuke.sk

Abstract

In this paper, a mathematical model containing two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function in its definition is proposed to be used for the first time to fit the relation between unemployment rate and inflation rate, also known as the Phillips curve. The Phillips curve is in the literature often represented by an exponential-like shape. On the other hand, Phillips in his fundamental paper used a power function in the model definition. Considering that the ordinary as well as generalised Mittag-Leffler function behave between a purely exponential function and a power function it is natural to implement it in the definition of the model used to fit the data representing the Phillips curve. The data of two strong European economies, France and Germany, are used for the modelling purposes.

Keywords: Econometric modelling; Identification; Phillips curve; Mittag-Leffler function

1 Introduction

It is "because of" or "thanks to" Paul Anthony Samuelson and Robert Merton Solow (Samuelson and Solow, 1960), that the economists all around the world call the negative correlation between the rate of wage change (or the price inflation rate) and unemployment rate the Phillips curve (PC). It is lesser-known, that the idea first occurred in the work by Irving Fisher (Fisher, 1926), more than 30 years before publishing the famous paper of Alban William Housego Phillips (Phillips, 1958), and Fisher was not the only one who would deserve such an important "discovery" be named after him. Arthur Joseph Brown in his book (Brown, 1955), published 3 years before Phillips paper, precisely described the inverse relation between the wage and price inflation and the rate of unemployment. Also

Richard George Lipsey (Lipsey, 1960) played an important role by the birth, creation of the theoretical foundations and popularisation of the PC. Samuelson and Solow in their paper (Samuelson and Solow, 1960) for the first time mention policy implications. In the empirical studies (Brown, 1955; Phillips, 1958; Lipsey, 1960) for the United Kingdom, and (Bowen, 1960; Samuelson and Solow, 1960; Bodkin, 1966) for the United States, the inverse relationship between the rate of wage change and the unemployment rate was proven. The Phillips curve was in its beginnings widely used by the policy-makers to exploit the trade-off to reduce unemployment at a small cost of additional inflation ("sacrifice ratio").

Since then the Phillips curve has been studied, extended and re-formulated by many authors. One of the "modern" forms of the PC is represented by models in which expectations are not anchored in backward-looking behaviour but can jump in response to current and anticipated changes in policy - the New Keynesian theory of the output-inflation trade-off. The model called the New Keynesian Phillips Curve (NKPC) builds, among others, on the works of Taylor (Taylor, 1979, 1980) and Calvo (Calvo, 1983), where the models of staggered contracts were developed, and on the quadratic price adjustment cost model of Rotemberg and Woodford (Rotemberg, 1982; Rotemberg and Woodford, 1997), all of which have a similar formulation as the expectations-augmented Phillips curve of Friedman and Phelps (Friedman, 1968; Phelps, 1968). The work of Clarida *et al.* (Clarida et al., 1999) illustrates the widely usage of this model in theoretical analysis of monetary policy. With the focus shifted from the unemployment rate to the output gap, Phillips' relationship has become an aggregate supply curve, but the idea remains the same. McCallum (McCallum, 1997) has called it "the closest thing there is to a standard specification". The NKPC stayed popular also in the late 90's and at the beginning of the 21$^{\text{st}}$ century as a theory for understanding inflation dynamics. In the works (Galí and Gertler, 1999; Galí et al., 2001, 2005) the NKPC was transformed into a hybrid version, that relates inflation to expected future inflation, lagged inflation and real marginal costs.

When M.G. Mittag-Leffler in his works (Mittag-Leffler, 1903a,b) proposed a new function $E_\alpha(x)$, he surely did not expect how important generalisation of the exponential function e^x he developed. The Mittag-Leffler (ML) function become of great use and importance not only for the mathematicians, but also in the fields like psychorheology (Blair, 1974), electrotechnics (Sierociuk et al., 2013), parameter identification (Petras et al., 2012), modelling the universe expansion (Zeng et al., 2015), *etc.*, because of its special properties and huge potential by solving applied problems such as fluid flow, rheology, superdiffusive transport, electric networks, probability, statistical distribution theory, *etc.* The ML function and its generalisations interpolate between a purely exponential law and a power-law-like behaviour, and they arise naturally in the solution of fractional-order integro-differential equations, random walks, Lévy flights, the study of complex systems, and in other fields. These functions also occur in the solution of certain boundary value problems involving fractional integro-differential equations of Volterra type (Samko et al., 1993), and in the solution of the Abel-Volterra type equation (Hille and Tamarkin, 1930; Kilbas and Saigo, 1995). In numerous works the properties, generalisations and applications of the ML type

functions were studied *e.g.* (Dzhrbashyan, 1966; Caputo and Mainardi, 1971; Blair, 1974; Torvik and Bagley, 1984; Gorenflo and Vessella, 1991; Gorenflo and Mainardi, 1996, 1997; Gorenflo et al., 2002, 2014; Kilbas and Saigo, 1996; Kilbas et al., 2004; Mainardi and Gorenflo, 1996, 2000; Srivastava and Saxena, 2001; Srivastava and Tomovski, 2009; West et al., 2003; Haubold et al., 2011), and in many others.

In this article the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler functions ability to "take different shapes" is for the first time used by modelling the relation between the unemployment rate and the inflation rate, in the economic community known as the Phillips curve. The idea to do so occurred continuously by the research undertaken by the author *et al.* in recent past (Podlubny et al., 2012; Skovranek et al., 2012). French and German economic data are taken for the period of time 1980 - 2011 from the portal *EconStats*^{TM} (Econstats.com, 2015) to identify the PC of these economies. The data are first pre-processed following the algorithm used in the Phillips' paper (Phillips, 1958), where the average values are calculated for different levels of unemployment rate and corresponding inflation rates. These average values are used as the data to be fitted. To evaluate the efficiency of the proposed model, two other models are considered, that in their model definition use the exponential function, power function, respectively. The performance of all three models is compared based on the fitting-criterion, formulated as the least squares error between the fitting curve and the "average" data-set. To have a better insight into the fitting performance, the least squares error between the fitting curve and the "original" data-set (all data) is also calculated for all three compared models.

2 Preliminaries: Mittag-Leffler function and its generalizations

In 1903 Magnus Gustaf Mittag-Leffler (Mittag-Leffler, 1903a,b) introduced a new function $E_\alpha(x)$, a generalisation of the classical exponential function e^x, which is till today known as the one-parameter Mittag-Leffler function. Using Erdélyi's notation (Erdélyi et al., 1955), where z is used instead of x, the function can be written as:

$$E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0,\ z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{1}$$

where Γ denotes the (complete) Gamma function, having the property $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$.

The one-parameter Mittag-Leffler function and its properties were further investigated in (Mittag-Leffler, 1904, 1905; Wiman, 1905a,b; Pollard, 1948; Agarwal, 1953; Humbert, 1953; Humbert and Agarwal, 1953) followed by the generalisation to a two-parameter function of the Mittag-Leffler type, by some authors called the Wiman's function (some give the credit to Agarwal). Following the Erdélyi's handbook the formula has the form:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0,\ \mathrm{Re}(\beta) > 0,\ z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2}$$

The main properties of the above mentioned functions, and other ML type functions, can be found in the book by Erdélyi *et al.* (Erdélyi et al., 1955), and a detailed overview in the book by Dzhrbashyan (Dzhrbashyan, 1966).

To demonstrate the concept of generality of the ML type functions let us point out, that the Mittag-Leffler function for one parameter (1) is a special case of the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function, *i.e.* if we substitute $\beta = 1$ in the formula (2). Accordingly, the classical exponential function is a special case of the one-parameter Mittag-Leffler function (where $\alpha = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha,1}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)} \equiv \quad & E_{\alpha}(z) \\ & E_1(z) \quad = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k+1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} = e^z. \end{aligned}$$

In 1971 the generalisation of Eq. (2) was introduced by T.R. Prabhakar (Prabhakar, 1971) in terms of the series representation:

$$E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_k z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)\, k!}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0,\ \mathrm{Re}(\beta) > 0,\ z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3}$$

where $(\gamma)_k$ is Pochhammer's symbol (Rainville, 1960), defined by:

$$(\gamma)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + k)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} = \begin{cases} 1, & (k = 0,\ \gamma \neq 0), \\ \gamma(\gamma+1)\ldots(\gamma+k-1), & (k \in \mathbb{N},\ \gamma \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases}$$

The function $E^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ is a natural generalisation of the exponential function e^z, the one-parameter Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha}(z)$ and the Wiman's function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$.

In 2007 Shukla and Prajapati (Shukla and Prajapati, 2007) proposed and investigated the function $E^{\gamma,q}_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$, defined as:

$$E^{\gamma,q}_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{qk} z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)\, k!}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0,\ \mathrm{Re}(\beta) > 0,\ \mathrm{Re}(\gamma) > 0,\ z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{4}$$

where $q \in (0,1) \cup \mathbb{N}$, and $(\gamma)_{qk}$ denotes the generalised Pochhammer's symbol:

$$(\gamma)_{qk} = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + qk)}{\Gamma(\gamma)},$$

which in particular reduces to:

$$q_{qk} = \prod_{r=1}^{q} \left(\frac{\gamma + r - 1}{q} \right)_k, \quad \text{if } q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Also other authors introduced and investigated further generalisations of the Mittag-Leffler function, but for the purpose to demonstrate the potential of the ML function these four generalisations of the exponential function are sufficient.

3 Modelling the Phillips curve

In this section, two well known approaches that can be used to model the relation between the unemployment rate and the inflation rate, are described. The first approach was used by A. W. Phillips in his fundamental paper (Phillips, 1958), the second-one is an approach based on the "Hybrid New Keynesian Phillips Curve", popular since the end of the 20$^{\text{th}}$ century.

3.1 The original Phillips curve

Phillips in (Phillips, 1958) used British economic data - the percentage unemployment data, provided by the Board of Trade and the Ministry of Labour (calculated by Phelps Brown and Sheila Hopkins (Brown and Hopkins, 1950)), and corresponding percentage employment data, quoted in Beveridge, Full Employment in a Free Society, Table 22. But the economic data were first preprocessed, *i.e.* the average values of the rate of change of money wage rates and of the percentage unemployment for 6 different levels of the unemployment (0-2, 2-3, 3-4, 4-5, 5-7, 7-11) were calculated. The crosses in the Fig. 1 refer to these average values. Each cross gives an approximation to the rate of change of wages which would be associated with the indicated level of unemployment if unemployment were held constant at that level. Finally, Phillips fitted a curve to the crosses using a model in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} y + a &= b\, x^c \Rightarrow \\ \log\left(y + a\right) &= \log\, b + c\, \log\, x, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where y being the rate of change of wage rates and x the percentage unemployment. The constants b and c were estimated using the least squares to fit four crosses laying between 0-5 % of unemployment, and constant a was chosen to fit the remaining two crosses laying in the interval 5-11 % of unemployment. Based on this "fitting criterion" Phillips identified the parameters of model (5) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y + 0.900 &= 9.638\, x^{-1.394} \Rightarrow \\ \log\left(y + 0.900\right) &= 0.984 - 1.394\, \log\ x. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$



Figure 1: Original Phillips curve (Phillips, 1958)

3.2 The New Keynesian Phillips curve

One of the modern ways of describing the relation between the unemployment rate and the inflation rate is an approach called the hybrid New Keynesian Phillips curve, that is based on the models of Taylor, Rotemberg, Calvo (Taylor, 1980; Rotemberg, 1982; Calvo, 1983), and further elaborated in papers *e.g.* (Fuhrer and Moore, 1995; Roberts, 1997; Galí and Gertler, 1999; Galí et al., 2005; Chortareas et al., 2012). It combines the forward-looking and the backward-looking behaviour, in the form:

$$\pi_t = \lambda\, y_t + \gamma_f\, E_t(\pi_{t+1}) + \gamma_b\, \pi_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \tag{7}$$

where y_t represents the economic activity, *e.g.* real marginal cost, $E_t(\pi_{t+1})$ is the expected inflation in period t, and the error term ε_t captures measurement errors or mark-up shocks. The parameters in (7) are:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= (1-\omega)(1-\theta)(1-\beta\,\theta)\phi^{-1}, \\ \gamma_f &= \beta\,\theta\,\phi^{-1}, \\ \gamma_b &= \omega\,\phi^{-1}, \\ \phi &= \theta + \omega[1-\theta(1-\beta)], \end{aligned}$$

where ω is the degree of backwardness, θ the measure of the price stickiness, and β is the subjective discount factor. In case $\omega \to 0$, the Eq. (7) becomes a pure forward-looking

NKPC, with $\gamma_b = 0$ and $\gamma_f = \beta$.

4 The Mittag-Leffler Phillips curve

As in many fields of science and applications so in economics, to describe a relation between two variables the regression analysis is often used. One can use different regression models from simple linear type, throughout exponential and power type models, to polynomial ones, and many others more complicated and sophisticated. The discussion on the linearity or nonlinearity, and on the convex/concave shape of the PC, if it is supposed to be nonlinear, is still alive. Some authors are in favour of convex shape (Cover, 1992; Karras, 1996; Nobay and Peel, 2000; Schaling, 2004), some of concave (Stiglitz, 1997), and some of their combination (Filardo, 1998). In the following subsections, the motivation for this work, the model using the ML function in its definition, and two cases of data-fitting using the proposed model will be described.

4.1 The motivation

The idea to use a Mittag-Leffler type function to fit the economic data (representing the Phillips curve) came up by observing two facts:

- the simplicity of the model (5) used by Phillips in his paper (Phillips, 1958), where a power type regression is used to fit the economic data, where the model can be defined in the form:
$$y(x) = b\,x^c - a, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{8}$$
- the usual shape of the PC, used in the literature, which reminds on the exponential type function:
$$y(x) = b\,\mathrm{e}^{c\,x} - a, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{9}$$

where in both cases (8) and (9) x stands for the unemployment rate and y for the inflation rate

Based on these facts, the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function seems to be a general model to fit the PC relation, as it behaves between a purely exponential function and a power function. Some of the possible shapes the ML function can have are shown in Fig. 2 (figures generated using the MATLAB demo published by Podlubny (Podlubny, 2011)).

4.2 The fitting method

The two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function (2), which includes the special cases when $\beta = 1$ called the one-parameter ML function (1), and the classical exponential function when



(a) $y(x) = C\,x^{\beta-1}E_{\alpha,\beta}\,(a\,x^{\alpha}\,)$



(b) $y(x) = e^{x}\ \mathrm{erfc}\,(\sqrt{x})$



(c) $y(x) = e^{-\alpha x}\cos\,(\beta x)$



(d) $y(x) = \sin\,(x)$

Figure 2: Mittag-Leffler fitting using different functions $y(x)$ for generating data

$\beta = \alpha = 1$, will be used to model the economic data under study. Generally, the proposed fitting model can be written as follows:

$$y(x) = C\,x^{\beta-1}E_{\alpha,\beta}\,(a\,x^{\alpha}\,), \quad \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0,\ \mathrm{Re}(\beta) > 0,\ a, C \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{10}$$

where the parameters α, β, a, C will be subject to optimisation procedure minimising the squared sum of the vertical offsets between the data points and the fitting curve.

For the evaluation of the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function, and for performing the "Mittag-Leffler fitting", the MATLAB functions created by Podlubny will be implemented (Podlubny, 2005, 2011). These were also used in the paper (Podlubny et al., 2012).

Here it should be noted, that in this article a similar procedure as the one used by Phillips is applied, *i.e.* first the average values for different levels of the unemployment rate are calculated (with the step 1 for the levels), and then the average values of the inflation rate corresponding to these levels of unemployment rate are evaluated. These points to be fitted will further be called the "average" data-set. The results will be given in the form of tables, where the least squares error (the squared sum of the vertical distances) between the fitting curve and the "average" data-set and also the least squares error between the fitting curve and all the economic data on hand (further called the "original" data-set), will be listed. This-way it will be possible to compare the goodness-of-fit of the three used models:

- the power-function model (8),
- the exponential-function model (9),
- the Mittag-Leffler model (10),

not only to the average values but also to the original data-points.

To find the parameters (α, β, a, C) for the Mittag-Leffler model, and (a, b, c) for the power-function model, the exponential-function model, respectively, the MATLAB optimisation function *fminsearch* was used, where the squared sum of the vertical distances of the points lying on the fitting-curve to the "average" data-set was minimised.

4.3 Selected examples - the fitting cases

The procedure described in the previous section was used to fit the relation unemployment rate and inflation rate of selected countries. The economic data were obtained from the *EconStats*^{TM} portal (Econstats.com, 2015), and the whole list of the used data can be found in the Tab. 3. As representatives, two European countries with "strong" economies were chosen to demonstrate the fitting procedure. The period under focus was selected based on the availability of the data.

For the first example, the data (unemployment rate and inflation rate) for the French economy were taken for the period of time 1980-2011. Following the procedure of pre-processing the data used by Phillips, the average values for different levels of unemployment rate, in this case 6 levels (6-7, 7-8, 8-9, 9-10, 10-11, 11-12, with lower bound included), and corresponding inflation rate averages, were calculated, to obtain the "average" data-set to be fitted (Tab. 4). Afterwards the data were fitted using three different models: the power-function model (8), the exponential-function model (9), and the Mittag-Leffler model (10), respectively, based on minimising the fitting criterion, the least squares error between the fitting curve (obtained as the solution of the used model), and the "average" data-set.

The result of the data fitting is shown in Fig. 3 and the evaluated errors, the least squares error for the fitting curve to the "average" data-set and the least squares error for

the fitting curve to all given data ("original" data-set), as well as the identified parameters of the above mentioned models, are listed in the Tab. 1.



Figure 3: Fitting the French economic data

Table 1: Fitting the French economic data

	Mittag-Leffler model	Exponential model	Power model
LS error to "average" data-set	1.1843	1.5780	1.7704
LS error to "original" data-set	189.1845	195.9090	198.3482
Model definition	$y(x) = C\,x^{\beta-1}E_{\alpha,\beta}\,(a\,x^{\alpha}\,)$	$y(x) = b\,\mathrm{e}^{c\,x} - a$	$y(x) = b\,x^{c} - a$
Identified parameters	$\alpha = 1.5317$ $\beta = 1.9470$ $a = -0.3209$ $C = 13.9419$	$a = -0.1507$ $b = 220.3057$ $c = -0.4449$	$a = 1.7578$ $b = 1933.2$ $c = -2.6297$

Identically as in the French case, the data (unemployment rate and inflation rate) were taken for the German economy for the period of time 1980-2011, and the average values

for 9 different levels of the unemployment rate (3-4, 4-5, 5-6, 6-7, 7-8, 8-9, 9-10, 10-11, 11-12, always including the lower bound) were evaluated with corresponding inflation rate averages. This-way again an "average" data-set to be fitted was obtained (Tab. 4), and these data were fitted using the power-function model, the exponential-function model, and the Mittag-Leffler model, respectively. The fitting criterion used here was the same as in the French case, *i.e.* the least squares error between the fitting curve and the "average" data-set. The results of the data fitting displayed in Fig. 4 are also given in the form of the evaluated errors, the least squares error of the fitting curve to the average values and the least squares error of the fitting curve to the "original" data-set. The errors as well as the identified parameters of the three models used to fit the German economic data, are listed in the Tab. 2.



Figure 4: Fitting the German economic data

4.4 Discussion on the results

In both fitting cases, using the French economic data as well as the German economic data, the model using the Mittag-Leffler function in its definition shows better performance from the viewpoint of the least squares errors in comparison to the models using exponential function, power function, respectively. It can be explained as a consequence of the possibility of the two-parameter ML function to behave between exponential and power-like law, what gives it more "freedom" to adapt to the fitted data.

In the French case (shown in Fig. 3), the Mittag-Leffler model has similar shape as the

Table 2: Fitting the German economic data

	Mittag-Leffler model	Exponential model	Power model
LS error to "average" data-set	3.8811	7.0219	7.3879
LS error to "original" data-set	40.9318	48.1316	49.7545
Model definition	$y(x) = C\,x^{\beta-1}E_{\alpha,\beta}\,(a\,x^{\alpha}\,)$	$y(x) = b\,\mathrm{e}^{c\,x} - a$	$y(x) = b\,x^{c} - a$
Identified parameters	$\alpha = 1.7344$ $\beta = 2.5112$ $a = -0.4617$ $C = 2.3107$	$a = 0.0381$ $b = 12.1022$ $c = -0.2007$	$a = 32.1245$ $b = 43.8469$ $c = -0.1141$

power-function model and the exponential-function model, but with the rising unemployment rate it has a growing tendency, while the other two models are still decaying on the given interval, what is not corresponding with the last four samples from the "original" data-set.

The behaviour of the model using ML function in the case of fitting the German economic data (shown in Fig. 4) reminds on oscillations (a combination of convex and concave shape), while the models, that use exponential function, power function, respectively, are on the observed interval strictly decaying, what does not correspond to the last samples from neither "average" nor "original" data-set.

Without any deeper discussion on the appropriate shape for representing the relation between unemployment rate and inflation rate (the so-called Phillips curve), it is obvious, that the usage of the Mittag-Leffler function in the model gives more "freedom" by the fitting, in comparison to the cases, where exponential function or power function is used in the model definition. Especially in the German case it is possible to observe that the fitting curve representing the solution of the Mittag-Leffler model can combine the convex and concave shape, by fitting the data. This could be a useful property not only by modelling the Phillips curve, but also by fitting the linkage between other economic variables, *e.g.* the fiscal multiplier effect, the link between inflation and money growth, *etc.*

5 Conclusion

In the present article for modelling the relation between the unemployment rate and the inflation rate, in the literature known as the Phillips curve, a mathematical model was proposed using the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function in its definition. To determine

the performance of the proposed model, two other models were introduced to fit the given data, one using the exponential function, the other using the power function. These two models were chosen from simple reasons, exponential-like shape can be observed in most of the works when modelling PC, and the power-law model was used in the original Phillips paper.

All three models, the Mittag-Leffler, exponential, and the power-function model, were applied to fit the French and German economic data. In both cases the ML model has proved better performance from the viewpoint of the fitting criterion - the least squares error of the fitting curve to the "average" data-set. In the Tab. 1 and Tab. 2 the values of the least squares error of the fitting curve to the "average" data-set and the least squares error of the fitting curve to whole "original" data-set are listed, together with the identified parameters for all three compared models. The two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function can take different shapes (see Fig. 2), what was used as an advantage by modelling the French and German Phillips curve, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively, where it can be seen, that the model using the ML function is not restricted to an exponential-like or power-like shape, but can also manifest itself in the form of oscillations, or damped oscillations.

In the further work the attention will be focused on better understanding and explanation of the parameters used in the Mittag-Leffler model, in the context of other economic indicators.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract No.: APVV-0482-11, APVV-14-0892, SK-PL-2015-0038; by the Slovak Grant Agency for Science under grants VEGA: 1/0552/14, 1/0908/15; by grant KEGA 040TUKE-4/2014 from the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport, Slovak Republic; and under the framework of the COST Action CA15225.

References

Agarwal, R. P. (1953). A propos d'une note de M. Pierre Humbert. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 236:2031–2032.

Blair, G. W. S. (1974). Psychorheology: links between the past and the present. *Journal of Texture Studies*, 5:3–12.

Bodkin, R. G. (1966). *The Wage-Price-Productivity Nexus*. Philadelphia.

Bowen, W. G. (1960). *Wage Behavior in the Postwar Period: An Empirical Analysis*. Princeton.

Brown, A. J. (1955). *Great Inflation 1939-1951*. Oxford University Press, London.

Brown, P. and S. Hopkins (1950). The course of wage-rates in five countries, 1860-1939. *Oxford Economic Papers*, II(2).

Calvo, G. A. (1983). Staggered prices in a utility-maximizing framework. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 12(3):383–398.

Caputo, M. and F. Mainardi (1971). Linear models of dissipation in anelastic solids. *La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*, 1(2):161–198.

Chortareas, G., G. Magonis, and T. Panagiotidis (2012). The asymmetry of the New Keynesian Phillips Curve in the Euro-area. *Economics Letters*, 114:161–163.

Clarida, R., J. Galí, and M. Gertler (1999). The science of monetary policy: A new Keynesian perspective. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 37(4):1661–1707.

Cover, J. P. (1992). Asymmetric effects of positive and negative money-supply shocks. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 107(4):1261–1282.

Dzhrbashyan, M. M. (1966). *Integral Transforms and Representations of Functions in Complex Plane*. Nauka, Moscow, Russia.

Econstats.com (2015). EconStats : World Economic Outlook (WEO) data, IMF. [online] Available at: http://www.econstats.com [Accessed 31 July 2015].

Erdélyi, A., W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi (1955). *Higher Transcendental Functions*, volume 3. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA.

Filardo, A. (1998). New evidence on the output cost of fighting inflation. *Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City Economic Review*, 83(3):33–61.

Fisher, I. (1926). A statistical relation between unemployment and price changes. *International Labour Review*, 13(6):785–792. Reprinted as 1973, I Discovered the Phillips Curve: A Statistical Relation between Unemployment and Price Changes, Journal of Political Economy, 81(2, Part 1), pp. 496-502.

Friedman, M. (1968). The role of monetary policy. *American Economic Review*, 58(1):1–17.

Fuhrer, J. C. and G. R. Moore (1995). Inflation persistence. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110(1):127–159.

Galí, J. and M. Gertler (1999). Inflation Dynamics: A Structural Econometric Analysis. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 44(2):195–222.

Galí, J., M. Gertler, and J. D. López-Salido (2001). European Inflation Dynamics. *European Economic Review*, 45(7):1237–1270.

Galí, J., M. Gertler, and J. D. López-Salido (2005). Robustness of the Estimates of the Hybrid New Keynesian Phillips Curve. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 52(6):1107–1118.

Gorenflo, R., A. A. Kilbas, F. Mainardi, and S. V. Rogosin (2014). *Mittag-Leffler Functions, Related Topics and Applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.

Gorenflo, R., J. Loutchko, and Y. Luchko (2002). Computation of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ and its derivatives. *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analisys*, 5(4):491–518.

Gorenflo, R. and F. Mainardi (1996). Fractional Oscillations and Mittag-Leffler Functions. Technical Report 1- 14/96, Free University of Berlin, Berlin, Germany.

Gorenflo, R. and F. Mainardi (1997). *Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics*, chapter Fractional calculus: Integral and differential equations of fractional order, pp. 223–276. Springer, Berlin, Germany.

Gorenflo, R. and S. Vessella (1991). *Abel Integral Equations: Analysis and Applications*, volume 1461. Springer, Berlin, Germany.

Haubold, H. J., A. M. Mathai, and R. K. Saxena (2011). Mittag-Leffler functions and their applications. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2011:51. Article ID 298628.

Hille, E. and J. D. Tamarkin (1930). On the theory of linear integral equations. *Annals of Mathematics*, 31(3):479–528.

Humbert, P. (1953). Quelques résultats relatifs à la fonction de Mittag-Leffler. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Paris*, 236:1467–1468.

Humbert, P. and R. P. Agarwal (1953). Sur la fonction de Mittag-Leffler et quelques-unes de ses généralisations. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 77(2):180–185.

Karras, G. (1996). Are the output effects of monetary policy asymmetric? Evidence from a sample of European countries. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 58(1):267–278.

Kilbas, A. A. and M. Saigo (1995). On solutions of integral equations of Abel-Volterra type. *Differential and Integral Equations*, 8(5):993–1011.

Kilbas, A. A. and M. Saigo (1996). On Mittag-Leffler type function, fractional calculus operators and solutions of integral equations. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 4(4):355–370.

Kilbas, A. A., M. Saigo, and R. K. Saxena (2004). Generalized Mittag-Leffler function and generalized fractional calculus operators. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 15(1):31–49.

Lipsey, R. G. (1960). The relation between unemployment and the rate of change of money wage rates in the United Kingdom, 1862-1957: A further analysis. *Economica*, 27(105):1–31.

Mainardi, F. and R. Gorenflo (1996). *Boundary Value Problems, Special Functions and Fractional Calculus*, chapter The Mittag-Leffler function in the Riemann-Liouville fractional calculus, pp. 215–225. Byelorussian State University, Minsk, Belarus.

Mainardi, F. and R. Gorenflo (2000). On Mittag-Leffler-type functions in fractional evolution processes. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 118(1-2):283–299.

McCallum, B. T. (1997). Comment. *NBER Macroeconomics Annual, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press*, pp. 355–359.

Mittag-Leffler, M. G. (1903a). Sur la nouvelle fonction $E_\alpha(x)$. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 137:554–558.

Mittag-Leffler, M. G. (1903b). Une generalisation de l'integrale de Laplace-Abel. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 137:537–539.

Mittag-Leffler, M. G. (1904). Sopra la funzione $E_\alpha(x)$. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 13:3–5.

Mittag-Leffler, M. G. (1905). Sur la représentation analytique d'une branche uniforme d'une fonction monogène - cinquième note. *Acta Mathematica*, 29(1):101–181.

Nobay, A. R. and D. A. Peel (2000). Optimal monetary policy with a nonlinear Phillips curve. *Economics Letters*, 67(2):159–164.

Petras, I., D. Sierociuk, and I. Podlubny (2012). Identification of parameters of a half-order system. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 60(10):5561–5566.

Phelps, E. S. (1968). Money-wage dynamics and labor market equilibrium. *Journal of Political Economy*, 76(4):678–711.

Phillips, A. W. (1958). The relation between unemployment and the rate of change of money wage rates in the United Kingdom, 1861-1957. *Economica*, 25(100):283–299.

Podlubny, I. (2005). *Mittag-Leffler function*. MathWorks, Inc. Matlab Central File Exchange, url: http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/8738.

Podlubny, I. (2011). *Fitting data using the Mittag-Leffler function*. MathWorks, Inc. Matlab Central File Exchange, url: http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/32170.

Podlubny, I., I. Petras, and T. Skovranek (2012). Fitting of experimental data using Mittag-Leffler function. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Carpathian Control Conference (ICCC 2012)*. High Tatras, Podbanské, Slovak Republic.

Pollard, H. (1948). The completely monotonic character of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_a(-x)$. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 54(12):1115–1116.

Prabhakar, T. R. (1971). A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel. *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, 19:7–15.

Rainville, E. D. (1960). *Special Functions*. Macmillan, New York USA.

Roberts, J. M. (1997). Is inflation sticky? *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 39(2):173–196.

Rotemberg, J. J. (1982). Monopolistic Price Adjustment and Aggregate Output. *Review of Economic Studies*, 49(4):517–531.

Rotemberg, J. J. and M. Woodford (1997). An Optimization-Based Econometric Framework for the Evaluation of Monetary Policy. *NBER Macroeconomics Annual, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press*, pp. 297–346.

Samko, S. G., A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev (1993). *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach, New York, NY, USA.

Samuelson, P. A. and R. M. Solow (1960). Analytical aspects of anti-inflation policy. *American Economic Review*, 50(2):177–194.

Schaling, E. (2004). The nonlinear Phillips curve and inflation forecast targeting: Symmetric versus asymmetric monetary policy rules. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 36(3 I):361–386.

Shukla, A. K. and J. C. Prajapati (2007). On a generalization of Mittag-Leffler function and its properties. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 336(2):797–811.

Sierociuk, D., I. Podlubny, and I. Petras (2013). Experimental evidence of variable-order behavior of ladders and nested ladders. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 21(2):459–466.

Skovranek, T., I. Podlubny, and I. Petras (2012). Modeling of the national economies in state-space: A fractional calculus approach. *Economic Modelling*, 29(4):1322–1327.

Srivastava, H. M. and R. K. Saxena (2001). Operators of fractional integration and their applications. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 118(1):1–52.

Srivastava, H. M. and Z. Tomovski (2009). Fractional calculus with an integral operator containing a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 211(1):198–210.

Stiglitz, J. (1997). Reflections on the natural rate hypothesis. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 11(1):3–10.

Taylor, J. B. (1979). Staggered Wage Setting in a Macro Model. *American Economic Review*, 69(2):108–113.

Taylor, J. B. (1980). Aggregate dynamics and staggered contracts. *Journal of Political Economy*, 88(1):1–23.

Torvik, P. J. and R. L. Bagley (1984). On the appearance of the fractional derivative in the behaviour of real materials. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 51(2):294–298.

West, B., M. Mauro Bologna, and P. Grigolini (2003). *Physics of fractal operators*. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Wiman, A. (1905a). Über den Fundamentalsatz in der Teorie der Funktionen $E_\alpha(x)$. *Acta Mathematica*, 29(1):191–201.

Wiman, A. (1905b). Über die Nullstellen der Funktionen $E_\alpha(x)$. *Acta Mathematica*, 29(1):217–234.

Zeng, C., Y.Q. Chen, and I. Podlubny (2015). Is our universe accelerating dynamics fractional order? In *ASME IDETC/CIE 2015, Boston, Aug. 2-5, 2015*. (accepted) DETC2015-46216.

Appendix

Table 3: The "original" data-set: Economic data for years 1980 – 2011 (Econstats.com, 2015)

	France		**Germany**	
Year	Unemployment rate [%]	Inflation rate [%]	Unemployment rate [%]	Inflation rate [%]
1980	6.349	13.060	3.359	5.447
1981	7.438	13.330	4.831	6.324
1982	8.069	11.980	6.734	5.256
1983	8.421	9.460	8.099	3.284
1984	9.771	7.674	8.058	2.396
1985	10.230	5.831	8.124	2.084
1986	10.360	2.539	7.834	-0.125
1987	10.500	3.289	7.843	0.242
1988	10.010	2.701	7.735	1.274
1989	9.396	3.498	6.790	2.778
1990	8.975	3.380	6.155	2.687
1991	9.467	3.217	5.470	3.474
1992	9.850	2.366	6.575	5.046
1993	11.120	2.106	7.833	4.476
1994	11.680	1.661	8.433	2.717
1995	11.150	1.778	8.275	1.729
1996	11.580	2.084	8.950	1.193
1997	11.540	1.283	9.692	1.533
1998	11.070	0.667	9.433	0.602
1999	10.460	0.562	8.625	0.635
2000	9.083	1.827	8.000	1.400
2001	8.392	1.781	7.883	1.904
2002	8.908	1.938	8.700	1.355
2003	8.900	2.169	9.783	1.031
2004	9.233	2.342	10.520	1.790
2005	9.292	1.900	11.210	1.920
2006	9.242	1.912	10.190	1.784
2007	8.367	1.607	8.783	2.276
2008	7.808	3.159	7.600	2.754
2009	9.500	0.103	7.742	0.234
2010	9.802	1.736	7.058	1.150
2011	9.675	2.293	5.983	2.482

Table 4: The "average" data-set: Economic data for different unemployment levels

	France		**Germany**	
Unemployment level [%]	Unemployment rate [%]	Inflation rate [%]	Unemployment rate [%]	Inflation rate [%]
3 – 4			3.359	5.447
4 – 5			4.831	6.324
5 – 6			5.727	2.978
6 – 7	6.349	13.060	6.564	3.942
7 – 8	7.623	8.245	7.691	1.489
8 – 9	8.576	4.616	8.405	1.907
9 – 10	9.483	2.624	9.636	1.055
10 – 11	10.312	2.984	10.355	1.787
11 – 12	11.357	1.597	11.210	1.920